Design of HIFU transducers to generate specific nonlinear ultrasound fields
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Abstract

Various clinical applications of high intensity focused ultrasound (HIFU) have different requirements on the pressure level and degree of nonlinear waveform distortion at the focus. Applications that utilize nonlinear waves with developed shocks are of growing interest, for example, for mechanical disintegration as well as for accelerated thermal ablation of tissue. In this work, an inverse problem of determining transducer parameters to enable formation of shocks with desired amplitude at the focus is solved. The solution was obtained by performing multiple direct simulations of the parabolic Khokhlov–Zabolotskaya–Kuznetsov (KZK) equation for various parameters of the source. It is shown that results obtained within the parabolic approximation can be used to describe the focal region of single element spherical sources as well as complex transducer arrays. It is also demonstrated that the focal pressure level at which fully developed shocks are formed mainly depends on the focusing angle of the source and only slightly depends on its aperture and operating frequency. Using the simulation results, a 256-element HIFU array operating at 1.5 MHz frequency was designed for a specific application of boiling-histotripsy that relies on the presence of 90-100 MPa shocks at the focus. The size of the array elements and focusing angle of the array were chosen to satisfy technical limitations on the intensity at the array elements and desired shock amplitudes in the focal waveform. Focus steering capabilities of the array were analyzed using an open-source T-Array software developed at Moscow State University.
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1. Introduction

High Intensity Focused Ultrasound (HIFU) is an emerging medical technology developed for noninvasive surgery applications. The method utilizes focused ultrasound waves that propagate from the external transducer through the skin to the targeted location to either thermally or mechanically ablate an unwanted tissue within the patient body. In conventional HIFU treatments that operate at moderate in situ intensity levels of about several hundred W/cm², ultrasound waves of nearly harmonic shape (Fig. 1(a)) generate purely thermal lesions at the focus (Fig. 1(c)). Higher intensities, up to several kW/cm², can be used to accelerate treatments. At increased intensities, nonlinear propagation effects accumulate on the way from the transducer leading to formation of high-amplitude shocks in the ultrasound waveform at the focus (Fig 1(b)). When shocks are present, tissue heating is significantly stronger than heating by harmonic waves of the same pressure magnitude; boiling temperatures can be reached at the focus in milliseconds (Canney et al., 2008). Thermal lesions with a vaporized core can be rapidly generated when shock-induced boiling occurs (Fig. 1(d)). Short ultrasound pulses with shocks can be also used to generate purely mechanical liquefaction of tissue (histotripsy) (Fig 1(e)) (Hoogenboom et al., 2015, Khokhlova V. et al., 2015). Recently, two histotripsy methods have been developed. Both methods use a pulse-periodic irradiation protocol with a low duty factor of <1% to avoid accumulation of heating in tissue. Cavitation cloud histotripsy uses microsecond long pulses that generate a cavitation cloud in the focal region (Parsons et al., 2006), and boiling histotripsy (BH) uses millisecond-long pulses that induce localized boiling of tissue within each pulse (Khokhlova T. et al., 2011). Different methods of histotripsy require specific pressure levels and shock amplitudes at the focus. In general, higher peak pressures and therefore shock amplitudes are required for the cavitation cloud histotripsy method as compared to boiling histotripsy (Maxwell et al., 2012). To evaluate nonlinear ultrasound fields of existing transducers or to develop transducers optimized for specific shock-based applications, an inverse problem to determine transducer parameters capable of generating a desired shock amplitude or peak pressures at the focus should be solved.

In this work, multi-parametric calculations based on the KZK equation are performed to solve this problem for the case of a single-element spherically-shaped source. The modelling results were applied for designing a multi-element phased array for boiling histotripsy applications. Geometric parameters of the array that provide developed shock fronts of 90-100 MPa amplitude at the focus were determined. Focus steering capabilities of the array were analysed using an open-source T-Array software developed at Moscow State University.

2. Method

The hypothesis of the study was the fact that pressure levels in the focal waveform at which shocks are developed are mainly determined by the transducer focusing angle characterized by its $F_{number} = F / 2a_0$, where $F$ – is the focal length of the source and $a_0$ – is its radius. For transducers of different aperture but same $F_{number}$, the shape and the length of the main focal lobe on the beam axis in case of linear focusing are very close to each other (Fig. 2(a)). For transducers with different $F_{number}$, i.e. different focusing angles, the length of their focal lobes are significantly
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Fig. 1. One cycle of a typical linear waveform (a), and nonlinearly distorted waveform with a shock (b) at the focus used in HIFU to generate a (c) purely thermal lesion, (d) thermal lesion with boiling, and (e) mechanical lesion in tissue.

2. Method

The hypothesis of the study was the fact that pressure levels in the focal waveform at which shocks are developed are mainly determined by the transducer focusing angle characterized by its $F_{number} = F / 2a_0$, where $F$ – is the focal length of the source and $a_0$ – is its radius. For transducers of different aperture but same $F_{number}$, the shape and the length of the main focal lobe on the beam axis in case of linear focusing are very close to each other (Fig. 2(a)). For transducers with different $F_{number}$, i.e. different focusing angles, the length of their focal lobes are significantly
different (Fig. 2(b)). Nonlinear effects accumulate with propagation distance; this accumulation is the strongest over the propagation across the main focal lobe of the beam because there the pressure amplitude is highest and thus most of the nonlinear effects occur. Hence, for beams with the same focusing angle (same Fnumber), i.e. the same length of the main focal lobe (Fig. 2(a)), shocks should be formed at the focus at the same pressure level. On the contrary, for sources with higher focusing angle (lower Fnumber) that have shorter length of the focal lobe, higher pressures will be needed to develop shocks and vice versa for less focused sources (higher Fnumber) (Fig. 2(b)).

To confirm this hypothesis and to obtain a relation between pressure levels of the shocked focal waveform and the Fnumber of the source, simulations were performed using the parabolic Khokhlov–Zabolotskaya–Kuznetsov (KZK) equation with weak viscosity (Zabolotskaya et al., 1969; Rosnitskiy et al., 2015). The boundary condition to the KZK equation was set as a flat circular source with a uniform pressure amplitude distribution. Focusing was accomplished by changing the phase along the source surface according to the parabolic law as a function of the radial coordinate. In the earlier study (Rosnitskiy et al., 2016) an analytical relationship was shown between the solutions of the parabolic model for a flat disc and the solution of the full diffraction model for a spherical bowl in the focal region of the beam. Given that a single-element source in the shape of a spherical segment is more applicable for practical applications, the results of this study are represented in terms of the parameters of such a spherical source with a uniform distribution of vibrational velocity over its surface.

Fig. 2. Linear axial pressure amplitude distributions \( p / p_{\max} \) normalized to their maximum values generated by spherically shaped focused transducers (a) with the same or (b) different values of their Fnumber = \( F/2a_0 \). Here, \( a_0 \) is the transducer radius, \( F \) is its focal distance, and \( z \) is the coordinate along the transducer axis. Examples are given for transducers with 1.5 MHz frequency and (a) \( F = 8, 12, 16 \) cm; Fnumber = 1.5; and (b) \( F = 8, 12, 16 \) cm; Fnumber = 1, 1.5, 2.

The KZK equation and the boundary condition were written in dimensionless form (Bessonova et al., 2008):

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \frac{\partial P}{\partial \sigma} - NP \frac{\partial P}{\partial \theta} - A \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial \theta^2} \right) = \frac{1}{4G} \Delta_\perp P,
\]

\[
P(\sigma = 0, R, \theta) = \begin{cases} \sin(\theta + GR^2), & R \leq 1 \\ 0, & R > 1. \end{cases}
\]

Here \( P = p / p_0 \) is the acoustic pressure normalized to the pressure amplitude at the transducer \( p_0 \), \( \theta = \omega_0 (t - z / c_0) \) is the dimensionless retarded time, \( \sigma = z / F \) is dimensionless axial coordinate normalized to focal length of the equivalent source \( F \), \( R = r / a \) is the radial coordinate normalized to the equivalent source radius \( a_0 \), \( N = 2\pi F_0 \beta p_0 / c_0 \rho_0 \) is the dimensionless nonlinear parameter, \( G = \pi f_0 a_0^2 / c_0 F \) is the diffraction parameter (the linear coefficient of pressure amplification with respect to the pressure amplitude on the surface of the
transducer), \( A = F \delta \omega^2 / 2c^2 \), is the absorption parameter, and \( c_a, \beta, \rho_0 \), and \( \delta \) are the ambient sound speed, nonlinearity coefficient, density, and diffusivity of sound of the medium, respectively.

The value of the absorption coefficient is very small when focusing in water is considered, \( A \ll 1 \), thus the KZK equation solution depends only on two dimensionless parameters: linear focusing gain \( G \) and nonlinear parameter \( N \). To solve the inverse problem of determining the transducer parameters that provide certain nonlinear fields at the focus, a multi-parametric solution of the KZK equation was obtained for a wide range of the values of \( G \) and \( N \). Simulations were performed for the parameter \( G \) ranging from 10 to 100 with the step of 5, and for the parameter \( N \) ranging from 0 to 1.5 (75 points). Using the results of such multiple simulations, the relationship between the parameters of nonlinear field at the focus and the source was established.

3. Results

As noted earlier, histotripsy applications require irradiation regimes with high-amplitude shocks at the focus. The regime of focusing at which fully developed shock forms in a focal waveform has been introduced recently (Rosnitskiy et al., 2015). In this regime, the ratio of the shock amplitude in the focal waveform to the source pressure is the maximum that corresponds to the maximum focusing gain \( (A_s / p_0) = \max \) for the amplitude of the shock relative to the initial pressure amplitude. Characteristic pressure focal waveforms \( p_f(\theta) \) with a fully developed shock for strongly and weakly focused sources are illustrated in Fig. 3(a). Fig. 3(b) shows the dependence of the focal waveform parameters (shock amplitude \( A_s \) and the peak pressures \( p_+ \) and \( p_- \)) on the transducer \( F_{\text{number}} \) for different values of its radius \( a_0 = 3, 3.5, 4, \) and \( 4.5 \) cm. The transducer is considered to operate at 1 MHz frequency. It is seen, that the parameters of the focal waveform with a developed shock indeed depend only on the source \( F_{\text{number}} \): the curves obtained for different values of the source radius \( a_0 \) are virtually indistinguishable. Hence, the desired shock amplitude can be obtained at the focus by varying the focusing angle of the transducer. For example, if a developed shock of 80 MPa is necessary, the transducer with focal length equal to the diameter \( (F = D) \) or \( F_{\text{number}} = 1 \) should be chosen. If a shock of smaller amplitude is needed, say 20 MPa, a less focused transducer is optimal: \( F = 2D \) or \( F_{\text{number}} = 2 \) (Fig. 3(b)). The output intensity \( I_0 = p_0^2 / 2 \rho_0 c_0 \) at the source at which fully developed shock is formed at the focus (Fig. 3(c)) depend both on the source aperture and its \( F_{\text{number}} \). With the same \( F_{\text{number}} \), higher intensity is required for transducer with smaller aperture to provide the same pressure levels in the focus required for shock formation condition; with the same aperture but different \( F_{\text{number}} \), lower intensity \( I_0 \) is needed for less focused transducers where nonlinear effects accumulate over longer focal lobe (Fig. 2).

![Fig. 3. (a) Characteristic focal waveforms with a fully developed shock for a strongly focused (\( F = D \), solid curve) and weakly focused (\( F = 2D \), dashed curve) transducers of 1 MHz frequency. (b) Dependencies of the parameters of the focal waveform with a fully developed shock (\( A_s, p_+ \), and \( p_- \)) and (c) initial intensity, required to obtain a fully developed shock at the focus on the \( F_{\text{number}} \) of the transducer for different values of its radius: \( a_0 = 3 \) cm (solid curve), 3.5 cm (dashed curve), 4 cm (dash-dotted curve), 4.5 cm (dotted curve).](image-url)
The results, shown in Fig. 3, were used to design a multi-element HIFU array for boiling histotripsy applications (Khokhlova V. et al., 2015). Some parameters of the array were predefined from the earlier studies. The array consisted of 256 elements that corresponded to the number of channels in the ultrasound (US) power supply; the frequency of 1.5 MHz frequency was determined from multi-frequency BH experiments (Khokhlova T. et al., 2015), a central opening of 40 mm was included to fit an ultrasound imaging probe, and a compact spiral layout of uniformly-sized elements was chosen for maximizing the power output of the array (Gavrilov et al., 2015) (Fig. 4(a)). The goal of this study was to determine the diameter of each array element and the focusing angle of the array so that a developed shock of 90-100 MPa is formed at the focus in water at the intensity of $I_0<3.75$ W/cm$^2$ at the array elements. The last requirement can be explained by technical limitations for the maximum intensity at the array elements (30 W/cm$^2$) when focusing in tissue assuming a 9 dB increase for the source output to compensate for absorption losses in tissue (Bessonova et al., 2010).

Although the results shown in Fig. 3 were obtained for the model of a single element spherical source, they can be successfully used to describe the nonlinear field at the focus of a multi-element array. Indeed, the array can be replaced by an equivalent single element spherical source by varying the radius and the focal length of such a source to match the axial distributions of the linear pressure field of the equivalent source and the array. The hypothesis is that if a good match of the axial fields in the focal region is achieved resulting in the same accumulation of nonlinear effects, the equivalent spherical source will generate the same nonlinear focal field at the focus as the multi-element array.

The procedure of designing the array therefore consisted of several steps. First, the curves for the shock amplitude dependence on the $F_{number}$ of the transducer (Fig. 3(b)) were analyzed. The results showed that in order to obtain the developed shock of 90-100 MPa at the focus, the equivalent spherical source with $F_{number}=0.9$ is needed. Then, it was found, by calculating parameters of the equivalent source for different arrays with spiral elements distribution, that the $F_{number}$ of the array is on the average about 8% less than the one of the spherical source. Thus, the $F_{number}$ of the array was chosen as $F_{number}=0.83$.

The next step was the choice of the array element diameter. Smaller element size can provide better focus steering capabilities of the array (Ilyin et al., 2015). However, small elements require unattainably high element intensities to produce a shock at the focus (Fig. 3(c)). The diameter of the array elements was increased to reach the value at which the initial intensity $I_0$ is less than 3.75 W/cm$^2$. Three representative cases of the element diameters considered here are shown in Fig. 4: 6 mm, 6.5 mm, and 7 mm. The equivalent source was found for each array, and the initial intensity at the elements, necessary to provide fully developed shock at the focus, was calculated (Fig. 4(b-d)). It is seen, that the case of 7 mm diameter of the element corresponds to initial intensity of 3.4 W/cm$^2$, that is less, than the technical limitation value ($I_0<3.75$ W/cm$^2$).

Fig. 4. (a) A sketch and general parameters of the considered array. An example of determination of the array element diameter is given for the cases of 6 mm (b), 6.5 mm (c), and 7 mm (d) sized elements. The linear axial fields of the arrays, $p_s/p_e$, calculated analytically using the Rayleigh integral (solid curves), and the fields of the equivalent spherical sources (dashed curves) are shown. Initial intensities at the array elements, necessary to obtain a fully developed shock at the focus are listed at the bottom of the figure.
The last step of the array design was evaluating its dynamic focusing capabilities whether they are acceptable for creating volumetric boiling histotripsy lesions in tissue. To perform such evaluation, an open source software package “T-Array” (Fig. 5), developed at Moscow State University was employed (www.limu.msu.ru). The software performs multiple calculations of the array field with different locations of the focus using electronic steering. Two diffraction effects influence the spatial structure of the ultrasound field with electronic steering of the focus. Secondary grating lobes form and focal intensity decreases when the array focus is shifted away from the center of the geometrical curvature of the array. Evaluation of these effects showed that the spatial area of safe (grating lobes are less than 10% of the focal intensity) and efficient (focal intensity decreases is less than 50% of its maximum reachable value) focus steering was determined: ±19 mm along the array axis and ±9 mm transverse, which is acceptable to create volumetric lesions.

![T-Array software package](www.limu.msu.ru)

Fig. 5. (a) T-Array software package for fast field simulations and evaluation of the field quality when steering the focus of multi-element arrays. (b) A window of the program for setting parameters of the array, position of the focus, spatial window for simulations, and grid steps for calculating the field with a 3D visualization of the array and calculation area. (c) Windows of the program showing field simulation results.

A photo of the array transducer that has been manufactured (Imasonic, Voray Sur L'ognon, France) based on the proposed design is shown in Fig. 6. The final parameters of the array are: 1.5 MHz frequency, 144 mm aperture, 120 mm focal length ($F_{number} = 0.83$), 256 elements of 7 mm diameter positioned with 0.5 mm gaps in 16-arm spiral configuration, and 40 mm central opening for a P4-2 ultrasound imaging probe.

![Photo of the array transducer](www.limu.msu.ru)

Fig. 6. Photo of the 256-element array transducer designed using the proposed method to generate shocks of 90 – 100 MPa at the focus. The final parameters of the array are: 1.5 MHz frequency, 144 mm aperture, 120 mm focal length ($F_{number} = 0.83$), 256 elements of 7 mm diameter positioned with 0.5 mm gaps in 16-arm spiral configuration, and 40 mm central opening for a P4-2 ultrasound imaging probe.

4. Discussion and Conclusion

In this study, an inverse problem of determining transducer parameters to provide the desired shock amplitude at the focus was solved. It was shown, that the focusing angle of the source is the main parameter that determines pressure levels in the focal waveform with fully developed shock. A multi-element HIFU array for boiling histotripsy applications was designed using the proposed method. The focusing angle of the array and the diameter of its elements were determined to satisfy technical limitations on the intensity level at the array elements as well as the required shock amplitudes at the focus. The T-Array software package developed to analyze steering capabilities...
of HIFU arrays was used to evaluate the region of the safe and efficient focus steering for the array design. In general, the results for pressure levels achievable at the focus in a shock-formation regime for different focusing angles of the source can be used for guiding transducer design for various HIFU applications.

This array and the process of designing HIFU probes aiming for the presence of shocks or for avoiding shocks described here will be used for many applications in the future. For example, the design process will help in developing lithotripters that specifically avoid shocks (Maxwell et al., 2015). It also fits well with the techniques described to derate nonlinear and shock waveforms measured in water to waveforms in tissue (Bessonova et al., 2010, Khokhlova et al., 2011). In another example, the array will be used for investigation of repositioning kidney stones (Sapozhnikov et al., 2013) via “tractor beam” (Sapozhnikov et al., 2014; Baresch et al., 2016).
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